

118TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

S. _____

To improve the Institutional Development Award program of the National
Institutes of Health.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

_____ (legislative day, _____), _____
Mrs. HYDE-SMITH introduced the following bill; which was read twice and
referred to the Committee on _____

A BILL

To improve the Institutional Development Award program
of the National Institutes of Health.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “IDeA Reauthorization
5 Act of 2024”.

6 **SEC. 2. INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AWARD PROGRAM.**

7 Section 461(b)(1) of the Public Health Service Act
8 (42 U.S.C. 285k(b)(1)) is amended—

9 (1) in subparagraph (A), by adding at the end
10 the following: “Such program shall be called the ‘In-

1 stitutional Development Award program’ or the
2 ‘IDeA program’.”;

3 (2) by amending subparagraph (B) to read as
4 follows:

5 “(B) The entities referred to in subparagraph
6 (A) are entities that conduct biomedical or behav-
7 ioral research and are located in a State that is at
8 or below the median of all States in aggregate grant
9 funding from the National Institutes of Health, ex-
10 cluding funding under this subsection, received by
11 entities in the State, calculated on a rolling multi-
12 year average, as determined by the Director of NIH
13 (referred to in this paragraph as an ‘IDeA State’).”;
14 and

15 (3) by adding at the end the following:

16 “(D) The NIH shall submit to Congress, as
17 part of its Federal budget submission, or make
18 available through an annually updated, publicly ac-
19 cessible data source—

20 “(i) a description of the strategy and ob-
21 jectives of the IDeA program;

22 “(ii) a description of the awards made
23 under such program in the previous fiscal year,
24 including—

1 “(I) the efforts and accomplishments
2 to more fully integrate the IDeA States in
3 major activities and initiatives of the Na-
4 tional Institutes of Health;

5 “(II) the percentage of IDeA program
6 reviewers who are from IDeA States; and

7 “(III) updates on programs or large
8 collaborator awards involving a partnership
9 of organizations and institutions from
10 IDeA States and non-IDeA States; and

11 “(iii) a description of gains in academic re-
12 search quality and in biomedical science human
13 resource development achieved through the
14 IDeA program over the last 5 fiscal years.”.